



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,406	-	07/29/2003	Bryce A. Jones	2303	7229
28005	7590	07/05/2006		EXAMINER	
SPRINT	INT PARKY	VAV	FERGUSON, KEITH		
	0101-Z2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OVERLA	ND PARK,	KS 66251-2100		2617	
				DATE MAILED: 07/05/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,406	JONES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Keith T. Ferguson	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutc - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI OF CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. Ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO, by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed of the case of the	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims		,	
· _	PP-		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-24 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	n accepted or b) objected to n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya e correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	, ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(070.440)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	.948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and 12-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1,2 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mimura in view of Kalavade et al., newly recited reference.

Regarding claims 1 and 9-10, Mimura discloses a method (fig.11) for triggering a mobile station to perform actions (fig. 11), detecting that a mobile station (fig. 12 MSJ) communicating with a first radio network (fig. 12 BS4) has changed location (movement I to movement II) and thereafter stopped moving (movement II) (fig. 12); and in response to detecting that the mobile station has changed location and thereafter stopped moving, performing a predetermined action (i.e. the mobile station CPU (13a) checks to whether a handoff

instruction is sent from the source base station within a predetermined period of time) (paragraph 0126 lines 1-17). Mimura differs from claim 1 of the present invention in that it does not explicit disclose the first radio network is a wireless wide area network (WWAN) and wherein the predetermined action comprises checking for availability of a wireless local area network (WLAN). Kalavade et al. teaches a dual mode client device that moves to a 802.11 region (WLAN region) from a GPRS network (WWAN) when it senses and switches to the 802.11 interface (predefine action or predefined preference) (P:0096 lines 1-15 and P:0102 lines 1-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mimura with the first radio network is a wireless wide area network (WWAN) and wherein the predetermined action comprises checking for availability of a wireless local area network (WLAN) in order for the mobile station to make a decision to handoff from a CDMA network to an internet network that would provide the mobile station with a high speed data internet connection, as taught by Kalavade.

Regarding claim 2, Mimura discloses a computer readable medium having stored therein instructions for causing a processor to execute the method (fig. 2 numbers 13a-13c and 33 and paragraph 0126 lines 1-15).

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mimura in view of Kalavade as applied to claim 1 above and in further view of Matsumoto et al..

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Mimura and Kalavade differs from claim 3 of the present invention in that they do not disclose determining the mobile station has not thereafter changed location again within a predetermined amount of time. Matsumoto et al. teaches a mobile station moves from one position to another position and stops at point A and remains at point A for 10 minutes (paragraph 0052 line 1 through paragraph

Art Unit: 2617

0053 line 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Mimura and Kalavade with determining the mobile station has not thereafter changed location again within a predetermined amount of time in order for the mobile station to inform the base station it received a stronger pilot signal from a nearby base station for handoff, as taught by Matsumoto et al.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mimura in view of Kalavade as applied to claim 1 above and in further view of Ho et al..

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Mimura and Kalavade differs from claim 4 of the present invention in that they do not disclose detecting that the mobile station has changed location within a predetermined amount of time. Ho et al. teaches a mobile station reports its location to the network when a specified time period has elapsed after its last location update (col. 2 lines 9-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Mimura and Kalavade with detecting that the mobile station has changed location within a predetermined amount of time in order for the mobile station to provide the source base station a location update message to inform that it is moving out of the area, as taught by Ho et al..

7. Claims 5-8,12,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mimura in view of Kalavade as applied to claim 1 above and in further view of Dorenbosh.

Regarding claims 5,6,7,8, the combination of Mimura and Kalavade differs from claim 5-8 of the present invention in that they do not explicit disclose wherein the mobile station communicates with a plurality of base stations in the WWAN,

detecting that the mobile station is communicating with an additional base station not in the plurality of base stations, determining that the mobile station is no longer communicating with one of the base stations, detecting a handoff of the mobile station between base stations, detecting a change in relative strengths of pilot signals of base stations. Dorenbosh teaches a first radio network is a WWAN (fig. 1 number 106), wherein the mobile station communicates with a plurality of base stations in the WWAN (fig. 6 number 108), detecting that the mobile station is communicating with an additional base station not in the plurality of base stations (fig. 1 number 116), determining that the mobile station is no longer communicating with one of the base stations (fig. 1), detecting a handoff of the mobile station between base stations (fig. 1 and paragraph 0017), detecting a change in relative strengths of pilot signals of base stations (paragraph 0029). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Mimura and Kalavade wherein the mobile station communicates with a plurality of base stations in the WWAN, detecting that the mobile station is communicating with an additional base station not in the plurality of base stations, determining that the mobile station is no longer communicating with one of the base

stations, detecting a handoff of the mobile station between base stations, detecting a change in relative strengths of pilot signals of base stations in order for the mobile station for the mobile station to receive high speed internet connection in the WWAN and receive an internet connection when handing off to a preferred network which provides cheaper rates, as taught by Dorenbosh.

Regarding claims 12 and 13, the combination of Mimura and Kalavade differs from claims 12-13 of the present invention in that it they do not explicit disclose powering up a second radio in the mobile station, wherein the mobile station uses the second radio to communicate with the second radio network (IEEE 802.11 network) attempting to establish a connection with the second radio network; successfully establishing the connection with the second radio network; in response to successfully establishing the connection with the second radio network, terminating a connection with the first radio network; and powering down a first radio in the mobile station, wherein the mobile station uses the first radio to communicate with the first radio network. Dorenbosh teaches powering up a second radio in the mobile station 9fig. 2 number 203), wherein the mobile station uses the second radio to communicate with the second radio network (WLAN) (IEEE 802.11 network) attempting to establish a connection with the second radio network (paragraph 0040 through paragraph 0044); successfully establishing the connection with the second radio network (paragraph 0040 through paragraph 0044); in response to successfully establishing the connection with the second radio network, terminating a connection with the first radio network (paragraph 0040 through paragraph 0044); and powering down a first radio in the mobile station, wherein the mobile station uses the first radio to communicate with the first radio network (see fig. 2 and paragraph 0040 through paragraph 0044). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Mimura and Kalavade with powering up a second radio in the mobile

Art Unit: 2617

station, wherein the mobile station uses the second radio to communicate with the second radio network (IEEE 802.11 network) attempting to establish a connection with the second radio network; successfully establishing the connection with the second radio network; in response to successfully establishing the connection with the second radio network, terminating a connection with the first radio network; and powering down a first radio in the mobile station, wherein the mobile station uses the first radio to communicate with the first radio network in order for the mobile station to handoff from the first network to a wireless LAN network when roaming through a building and when the quality of communication fails, as taught by Dorenbosh.

8. Claims 14-16,18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dorenbosh in view of Mimura.

Regarding claims 14,16 and 19, Dorenbosh discloses a method for switching between communicating with a WWAN and a WLAN (wireless network) other than a WWAN (fig. 3 and paragraph 0040 through paragraph 0044), the method comprising: determining that a mobile station communicating with a WWAN has changed location (fig. 3 and paragraph 0043 lines 1-28); enabling a WLAN wireless radio in the mobile station (fig. 3 and paragraph 0043 lines 1-28), wherein the mobile station uses the wireless radio to communicate with the wireless network (fig. 3 and paragraph 0043 lines 1-28); and attempting to communicate with the WLAN wireless network (fig. 3 and paragraph 0044 and paragraph 0049 lines 1-14). Dorenbosh differs from claim 14 of the present invention in that it does not explicit

Art Unit: 2617

disclose the mobile station thereafter stopped moving. Mimura teaches a mobile station (fig. 12 MSj) that moves from area I and stops at area II (fig. 12 and paragraph 0126 lines 1-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dorenbosh with the mobile station thereafter stopped moving in order for the mobile station to register with the WLAN when the mobile station has roamed within a building, as taught by Mimura.

Regarding claim 15, Dorenbosh discloses a computer readable medium having stored therein instructions for causing a processor to execute (fig. 2 number 215).

Regarding claim 18, Dorenbosh discloses the WWAN is a CDMA network (paragraph 0012).

Regarding claim 20, Dorenbosh discloses a mobile station (fig. 2) comprising: a processor (211); a memory (215); a first radio for communicating with a first radio network (201); logic stored in the memory and executable on the processor to (i) determine that the mobile station has changed (paragraph 0025 through paragraph 0037); and (ii) in response to determining

that the mobile station has changed location, performing a predetermined action (i.e. the mobile station register with a new network) (paragraph 0025 through paragraph 0037). Dorenbosh differs from claim 20 of the present invention in that it does not explicit disclose the mobile station thereafter stopped moving. Mimura teaches a mobile station (fig. 12 MSj) that moves from area I and stops at area II (fig. 12 and paragraph 0126 lines 1-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dorenbosh with the mobile station thereafter stopped moving in order for the mobile station to register with the WLAN when the mobile station has roamed within a building, as taught by Mimura.

Regarding claims 21-24, Dorenbosh discloses a second radio for communicating with a second radio network (fig. 2 number 235); and logic stored in the memory and executable on the processor to (i) in response to determining that the mobile station has changed location enabling the second radio (paragraph 0025 through paragraph 0037), and (ii) attempting to communicate with the second radio network using the second radio (paragraph 0025 through paragraph 0037).

Application/Control Number: 10/629,406 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

9. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dorenbosh in view of Mimura as applied to claim 14 above and in further view of Sorenson et al..

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Dorenbosh and Mimura differs from claim 17 of the present invention in that they do not disclose failing to establish a connection with the wireless network other than the WWAN; disabling the wireless network radio in the mobile station, waiting a predetermined period of time; re-enabling the wireless network radio in the mobile station; and re-attempting to establish a connection with the wireless network. Sorenson et al. teaches a multiple mode communication device for switching between multiple communication systems (col. 1 lines 7-15), and if one connection with the current communication systems is not successful then re-attempting to communicate with the current communication systems after a predetermined time (col. 4 lines 33-39). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Dorenbosh and Mimura with failing to establish a connection with the wireless network other than the WWAN; disabling the wireless network radio in the mobile station, waiting a predetermined period of time; re-enabling the wireless network radio in the mobile station; and re-attempting to establish a connection with the wireless network in order for the mobile station to seek service from a preferred service provider that have cheaper tariff when roaming between different communication systems, as taught by Sorenson et al..

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed February 6, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. The following are explanations to the applicant arguments:
- 11. Argument: Regarding claims 1,14 and 20, applicant alleges that Mimura do not specify that the mobile station has thereafter stopped moving.

Art Unit: 2617

Explanation: Examiner respectfully disagrees because Mimura teaches a mobile station moves from marking position I to marking position II (fig. 12)(i.e. from the mobile station moves from one position I to another position II when it detects a pilot channel from a stronger base station, the CPU of the mobile station waits for a predetermine amount of time for handoff instruction from the source base station after it report the signal strength of a target base station to the source base station) (paragraph 0126 lines 1-17).

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith T. Ferguson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7865. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Keith Ferguson Art Unit 2617 June 22, 2006

KEITH FERGUSON PRIMARY EXAMINER